



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,447	01/08/2007	Zak Doffman	005222.00415	9984
29638 7590 07/27/2009 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NO. 005222 10 S. WACKER DRIVE, 30TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			EXAMINER JAMA, ISAAK R	
			ART UNIT 2617	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/27/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/567,447

**Applicant(s)**

DOFFMAN, ZAK

**Examiner**

ISAAK R. JAMA

**Art Unit**

2617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ISAAK R. JAMA.(3) Christopher M. Swickhamer (Reg. # 59,853).

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10, 19 and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: US PGPUB 2006/0030295 (Adams et al.).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: That US PGPUB 2006/0030295 (Adams et al.) is not a prior art because the European Patent Application to which it claims priority predates it. In addition, Examiner suggested that the independent claims require further amendment to ascertain the application's patentable concept.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/ISAAK R. JAMA/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2617